

Appl. No. 10/065,172
Amdt. dated November 07, 2006
Reply to Office action of August 29, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Fig. 1. These sheets, which include Fig. 1, replace the original sheets including Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, a legend "Prior Art" has been designed; "Audible range" has been labeled for 20a, 20c, and 20e; "Silent
5 range" has been labeled for 20b and 20d; the shaded blocks have been labeled "D"; element 12 previously labeled as "PC" has been relabeled "Sender"; and element 14 previously labeled as "PC" has been relabeled "Receiver" as required by the Examiner.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

1 page

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is a full and timely response to the Office action of August 29, 2006. In this response, the drawings, specification, and claims have been amended as required by the Examiner. Independent claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include limitations not found in known prior art. Claims 4, 13, 14, and 15 have been cancelled. Reconsideration of the drawings, specification, and all claims pending in the application is respectfully requested.

1. Drawings

Fig. 1 has been amended so that the element 12 previously labeled as "PC" has been relabeled "Sender", the element 14 previously labeled as "PC" has been relabeled "Receiver", "Audible range" has been labeled for 20a, 20c, and 20e, "Silent range" has been labeled for 20b and 20d, the shaded blocks have been labeled "D", and a legend "Prior Art" has been designed as required by the Examiner. No new material has been introduced.

Acceptance and reconsideration of the drawings is respectfully requested.

2. Specification

Typographical errors that inadvertently occurred during electronic filing of this application and pointed out by the Examiner in Paragraphs [0076] and [0077] have been corrected. As noted previously in this response, the shaded blocks in Fig. 1 have been labeled "D" so that the cited discussion in Paragraph [0007] is amended to accurately correspond with Fig. 1 as required. No new material has been introduced.

Reconsideration of the specification is respectfully requested.

3. Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

Claim 1 has been amended to include allowable subject matter of claim 2 and claim 4 so as to include limitations the Examiner has stated as not found in known prior art. Claim 2 and claim 4 have been cancelled. If independent claim 1 is allowable, the dependent claims 8, 9,

and 10 may be allowable.

Claim 3 previously dependent on claim 2 has been amended to depend on claim 1 because the subject matter of claim 2 has been appended to claim 1 and claim 2 has been cancelled. The steps (d) and (e) in claim 3 have been relabeled as (g) and (h) so as to continue the steps in Claim 1. And since claim 3 depends on claim 1, if claim 1 is allowable, claim 3 may subject to be allowable.

Claim 11 has been amended to include allowable subject matter of claim 15 so as to include limitations not found in known prior art. Because claim 15 depends on claim 14 and claim 14 depends on claim 13, the subject matter of claims 13 and 14 is also appended to claim 11 while appending the subject matter of claim 15 to claim 11. Claims 13, 14, and 15 have been cancelled. If independent claim 11 is allowable, the dependent claims 12 and 18 may subject to be allowable. Furthermore, since claim 19 is dependent on claim 12, if claim 12 is allowable, the dependent claim 19 may subject to be allowable.

Reconsideration of claims 1, 3, 8-12 and 18-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103 is respectfully requested.

4. Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

Claim 4 has been cancelled.

Claim 5 previously dependent on claim 4 has been amended to depend on claim 1 because the subject matter of claim 4 has been appended to claim 1 and claim 4 has been cancelled. And if claim 1 is allowable, claim 5 may be allowable.

Claim 6 previously dependent on claim 4 has been amended to depend on claim 1 because the subject matter of claim 4 has been appended to claim 1 and claim 4 has been cancelled. And if claim 1 is allowable, claim 6 may be allowable. Furthermore, since claim 7 depends on claim 6, if claim 6 is allowable, claim 7 may subject to be allowable.

Reconsideration of claims 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112 is respectfully requested.

5. Claim Objections

Appl. No. 10/065,172
Amdt. dated November 07, 2006
Reply to Office action of August 29, 2006

Claim 16 previously dependent on claim 15 has been amended to depend on claim 11 because the subject matter of claim 15 has been appended to claim 11 and claim 15 has been cancelled. And if claim 11 is allowable, claim 16 may subject to be allowable.

5 Claim 17 previously dependent on claim 13 has been amended to depend on claim 11 because the subject matter of claim 13 has been appended to claim 11 and claim 13 has been cancelled. And if claim 11 is allowable, claim 17 may subject to be allowable.

Reconsideration of claims 16-17 under the informalities objection is respectfully requested.

10 The applicant respectfully requests that all claims be considered in light of the arguments set forth herein, and that the rejection be withdraw.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.
Sincerely yours,

15



Date: 11/07/2006

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

20 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)